

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Texas

United States of America

v.

Adam Tenden Ray

Date of Original Judgment: 10/22/2012

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: W-12-CR-050(1)

USM No: _____

Defendant's Attorney

ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Having considered Defendant's background and the serious danger to society his early release would pose, the Court is persuaded his Motion for Sentence Reduction should be denied. A sentence reduction would not adequately reflect Defendant's culpability and the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, or afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct considering the amount of drugs involved and his criminal history.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 10/19/15



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Walter S. Smith, Jr.

Printed name and title